The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 17

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAILED

AUG 1 9 2004

U.S PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte RISTO BELL and J. DANIEL MERCHANT

Application No. 09/410,160

ON BRIEF

Before HARKCOM, *Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge*, WILLIAM F. SMITH and NASE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

Per Curiam.

## REMAND TO THE EXAMINER

The Office of the Group Director of Technology Center 2100 has requested that this application be remanded to the jurisdiction of the patent examiner so that the issues raised in this appeal can be reconsidered. Accordingly, we *remand*.

Application No. 09/410,160

If reconsideration by the examiner does not promptly result in the withdrawal of all pending rejections, the examiner must return this application to the jurisdiction of the Board so that the appeal may be restored.

REMANDED

GARY V/HARKCOM, Acting Chief

Administrative Patent Judge

WILLIAM F. SMITH

Administrative Patent Judge

) BOARD OF PATENT ) APPEALS AND ) INTERFERENCES

JEFFREY V. NASE

Administrative Patent Judge

clm

Application No. 09/410,160

Christopher P. Maiorana, P.C. 24840 Harper St. Clair Shores, MI 48080